

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,812	06/23/2003	Bruce Daniel MacMillan	20009.0195US01(030083)	9837
45695 WITHERS & k	7590 02/20/2008 CEYS FOR BELL SOLITH	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 713	55	S FOR BELL SOUTH DANG, THANH HA T		
MARIETTA, GA 30007-1355			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2163	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	·	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/601,812	MACMILLAN, BRUCE DANIEL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thanh-Ha Dang	2163
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. I period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	<u>07 November 2007</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	illowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 8-20 is/are pending in t	he application	:
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are without	, ,	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 8-20</u> is/are rejected.		·
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	•
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer	·
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>6/23/03</u> is/are: a)		o by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	* ' '	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
<u> </u>	oroign priority under 35 H S C	S 110(a) (d) or (f)
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oreign priority under 33 0.3.0.	3 119(a)-(u) or (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		
application from the International I	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certified copies not	received.
	·	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		nformal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/601,812 Page 2

Art Unit: 2163

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-3 and 8-20 are rejected in this Office Action.

2. Applicant cancelled Claims 4-7.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 17 recites on lines 3 (... for accessing ...), 5 (... for identifying ...), and 7 (... for authorizing ...), wherein usage of the term "for" is intended use. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "an e-mail" which is considered as a new e-mail, and therefore is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "an e-mail" which is considered as a new e-mail, and therefore is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC§ 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/601,812

Art Unit: 2163

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Claims 1-3 and 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pub. No. US2004/0064445 issued to Pfleging et al. ("Pfleging"), further in view of Pub. No. US2003/0130857 issued to Matsuo ("Matsuo"), further in view of US Patent No. 6,029,192 issued to Hill et al. ("Hill"), and further in view of US Patent No. 6,820,204 issued to Desai et al. ("Desai").

As to Claims 1, 17 and 20, *Pfleging teaches* a method for retrieving information in a private database, the method comprising:

- o receiving, via-email, a request from a wireless communication device to retrieve the information in the private database (Figures 1 and 2A-B, page 2 [0014-0016]);
- o sending a query to retrieve the information (Figures 2A-B, page 2 [0014, lines 3-5 and lines 19-23]; [0015]; and [0016])
- sending the information to the wireless communication device (Figure 2B block74, page 2 [0016]); and
- o if the identified e-mail address is not on the list, then denying the request to retrieve the information in the private database (Figure 2A block58 and 60, page 2 [0014, lines 15-18 wherein a NO determination is equivalent to denying the request to retrieve the information in the private database]).

o Pfleging does not explicitly teach upon receiving the request, comparing an e-mail address identified with the wireless communication device against a list of e-mail addresses residing at the e-mail server, and if the identified e-mail address is on the list, then authorizing retrieval from the private database. However,

Matsuo teaches upon receiving the request, comparing an e-mail address identified with the wireless communication device against a list of e-mail addresses residing at the e-mail server, and if the identified e-mail address is on the list, then authorizing retrieval from the private database (Figure 10, page 6 [0059, wherein the authorized parties stored in the database read on the list limitation]). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine method for utilizing an information exchange framework teaching of Matsuo with wireless access to a database by message query teaching of Pfleging to provide method and system which read on the list of e-mail addressees limitation.

Pfleging in combination with Matsuo does not explicitly teach receiving a response to the query, wherein the response includes the information, wherein the information comprises a list of documents which are listed by order of relevance. However,

Hill teaches receiving a response to the query, wherein the response includes the information, wherein the information comprises a list of

Application/Control Number: 10/601,812

documents which are listed by order of relevance (Figure 14 block1402, column 11, line 15). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine resource evaluation derived from electronic messages teaching of Hill with method for utilizing an information exchange framework teaching of Matsuo and wireless access to a database by message query teaching of Pfleging to provide method and system which display information by order of relevance.

 Pfleging, Matsuo in combination with Hill does not explicitly teach at an e-mail server. However,

Desai teaches at an e-mail server (Figure 1, block30, column 10, lines 44-45). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine system and method for selective information exchange teaching of Desai with resource evaluation derived from electronic messages teaching of Hill, method for utilizing an information exchange framework teaching of Matsuo, and wireless access to a database by message query teaching of Pfleging to provide method and system which include an e-mail server to read on the claimed limitation.

As to Claim 2, *Pfleging, Matsuo, Hill in combination with Desai teaches* wherein receiving the request includes receiving an e-mail from the wireless communication device (*Pfleging, page 1 [0011]*).

As to Claim 3, Pfleging, Matsuo, Hill in combination with Desai teaches wherein receiving the e-mail from the wireless communication device includes receiving the e-mail from one of a wireless telephone, a wireless pager and a wireless personal digital assistant (Desai, column 3, lines 49-55).

As to Claim 8, Pfleging, Matsuo, Hill in combination with Desai teaches wherein sending the query includes identifying text in the e-mail (Hill, Figure 4, column 5, lines 40-47).

As to Claim 9, Pfleging, Matsuo, Hill in combination with Desai wherein identifying text in the e-mail includes identifying a word associated with the information (Pfleging, Figure 4, page 2 [0019, wherein the request "C: Cruise" is to search for a cast member with the name "Cruise" wherein searching inherently identifying the word "Cruise"]).

As to Claim 10, Pfleging, Matsuo, Hill in combination with Desai teaches wherein identifying the word in the e-mail includes identifying an acronym associated with the information (Pfleging, page 2 [0019, lines 8-11 wherein the example shows U:026359927324 which describes U as an acronym associated with the UPC code information]).

As to Claim 11, Pfleging, Matsuo, Hill in combination with Desai teaches wherein identifying text in the e-mail includes identifying a phrase associated with the information (Pfleging, page 2 [0019, wherein the search criteria identifies a phrase associated with the information, e.g., "T: Star Wars"]).

As to Claims 12 and 13, Pfleging, Matsuo, Hill in combination with Desai teaches wherein identifying text in the e-mail includes identifying a letter and a number associated with the information (Hill, column 5, lines 48-53 wherein mailing address425/URL428 read on letter and a number associated with the information).

As to Claim 14, Pfleging, Matsuo, Hill in combination with Desai teaches wherein receiving the response includes receiving the information (Hill, Figure 14, column 11, lines 9-13 wherein receiving the search result read on the claimed limitation).

As to Claim 15, *Pfleging, Matsuo, Hill in combination with Desai teaches* wherein sending the information to the wireless communication device includes sending an e-mail to the wireless communication device (*Pfleging, Figure 2B block70-74, page 2 [0016, lines 4-9]*).

Claim 16 has similar limitations to Claim 1, and therefore is rejected under the same rationale as Claim 1.

As to Claim 18, Pfleging, Matsuo, Hill in combination with Desai teaches wherein the e-mail server comprises a portion of an Intranet (Matsuo, page 10 [0114, line 4]).

As to Claim 19, Pfleging, Matsuo, Hill in combination with Desai teaches wherein the e-mail server comprises a portion of an Extranet (Matsuo, page 10 [0114, line 5]).

Application/Control Number: 10/601,812 Page 8

Art Unit: 2163

Response to Applicant Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 8-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon in form PTO-892 if any is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,812 Page 9

Art Unit: 2163

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to THANH-HA DANG whose telephone number is (571)272-4033. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571-272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thanh-Ha Dang Examiner, AU 2163

February 15, 2008

For SPE DOW WOWG